UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,570	04/12/2006	Herbert Grieb	2003P00655WOUS	7306
28524 SIEMENS COF	7590 07/19/201 RPORATION	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 170 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH			CIRIC, LJILJANA V	
ISELIN, NJ 088			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/19/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
		10/542,570	GRIEB ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric	3744			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence a	ddress		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per et or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the provision of the maximum statutory between the period by the Office later than three months after the maximum statutory. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	EDATE OF THIS COMMUN 2.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30					
′=	<i>'—</i>	his action is non-final.				
3)	- ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '					
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>5-8,11-14 and 16</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>5-8,11-14 and 16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examember The drawing(s) filed on 18 July 2005 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous sheets.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objective drawing(s) be held in abeyo	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	SFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority น	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) □ Intoniou	· Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This Office action is in response to the reply filed on April 30, 2010.
- 2. Claims 5 through 8, 11 through 14, and 16 remain in the application, all as amended either directly or indirectly.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on April 30, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the applied Goodson et al. reference fails to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., that the temperature sensor detects a reduced efficiency of the heat exchanger when the measured temperature changes based upon constant mass flow and constant fluid entry parameter) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Also, in response to applicant's argument that the Goodson et al. reference fails to disclose or suggest a constant entry parameter of a fluid involved in a heat exchange, the examiner notes that the claim language relied upon by applicant is language which is part of "wherein" clauses in the claims which suggest or makes optional but do not require steps to be performed and do not limit a claim to a particular structure. Therefore, the aforementioned language in the "wherein" clauses in the claims does not limit the scope of the claims and cannot be relied upon for patentability. Additionally, the term "an entry parameter" is not strictly defined by either the claims or the specification and hence must be broadly interpreted as required for pending claims. And, last but not least, "an entry parameter of a fluid involved in a heat exchange of the micro heat exchanger" is very broad as written even if it were to be given more

Art Unit: 3744

substantial weight, and could be readable on any inherent entry parameter (i.e., heat transfer coefficient, pressure, temperature, mean velocity, average velocity, mass flow rate, latent heat of vaporization, viscosity, density, surface tension, etc.) of any fluid (i.e., the cooling fluid having a liquid phase or air) involved in a heat exchange of the micro heat exchanger, where furthermore the parameter may be a parameter (i.e., the latent heat of vaporization of the cooling fluid having a liquid phase or the heat transfer coefficient of air) inherently remains substantially unchanged even if the measured temperature changes.

Applicant's arguments are thus respectfully traversed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 5 through 8, 11 through 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Goodson et al. (US Patent No. 6,942,018 B2, filed January 19, 2002).

Page 4

Goodson et al. (especially Figures 38 through 40 and columns 30-32) discloses a device and a corresponding method essentially as claimed, including, for example: a micro heat exchanger 50/200 having a plurality of channels 220; at least one temperature sensor 250 arranged on an outside surface of the micro heat exchanger 50/200; and a controller or evaluation unit 500. Goodson et al. furthermore discloses that the input from the various sensors, including the at least one temperature sensor 250, to the controller or evaluation unit 500 is used to diagnose various malfunctions of the micro heat exchanger 50/200, including the buildup of deposited materials therein (i.e., an obstruction therein).

The reference thus reads on the claims.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric whose telephone number is 571-272-4909. The examiner works a flexible schedule, but can normally be reached weekdays between 10:30 a.m. and 6:30 p.m.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,570 Page 5

Art Unit: 3744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744